Q2 What was your key takeaway from the symposium as a whole?

• Antibiotic resistance is a shared responsibility between human and animal medicine – Definitely a One Health Issue
• Complex Issues – Multiple stakeholders and lack of data
• Moving together towards Metrics is the key
• All Stakeholders have to be at the table

Q3 What was the most valuable part of the symposium?

• The general response was that the symposium provided a safe place for open discussion among all stakeholders including human health, animal health, regulatory and retail groups.
• The panel discussions and breakout sessions were valued
• The Retail panel and environmental talks received high praise
• Networking
• Excellent information and content
Q4 Was the goal of the first session achieved in providing a historical perspective of previous symposia and a broad overview of stewardship in animal and human health?

Answered: 40  Skipped: 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Choices</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>82.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q5 Was the goal of the second session accomplished in providing a more detailed perspective of animal species-specific stewardship programs (poultry, environmental, swine, beef)?

Answered: 40   Skipped: 7

- Yes
- No
- Comments
Q6 Was the goal of the third session achieved in providing a more detailed perspective of human stewardship programs (overall CDC efforts, out-patient, in-patient and state health departments)?

Answered: 39  Skipped: 8

- More transparency
Q7 Were there metrics presented in the third session that you feel could be applied in a similar way to antibiotic stewardship programs in animal agriculture? If so, which one(s)?

• Not enough time
• A long way to go, thought FDA USDA would have what they wanted
• Heavy handed
• Has to be farm driven
• Inconsistent definitions so it is hard to establish meaningful metrics
Q8 Was the goal of the retail panel accomplished in providing a more detailed perspective of antimicrobial resistance issues facing food suppliers and retailers?

Answered: 38  Skipped: 9

- Overall positive response
Q9 Was the goal of the fourth session accomplished in providing a more detailed perspective from the regulatory agencies and academia?

Answered: 39  Skipped: 8

- Yes
- No
- Comments
Q10 Understanding the perspectives of others regarding possible metrics surrounding antimicrobial stewardship?
Answered: 38  Skipped: 9

Q11 Promoting discussion regarding metrics appropriate for antimicrobial stewardship?
Answered: 37  Skipped: 10
Q12 What theme/context should be considered for the 2016 NIAA Symposium in order to continue to build on the shared efforts of agriculture and public health?

Answered: 30  Skipped: 17

• Report back to PCARB
• Include all stakeholders AMA, CDC, etc.